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Why we developed this toolkit

Measuring someone’s cognitive function is one of the most important assessments clinicians make, particularly those in old age psychiatry and geriatric medicine. It is key to detecting dementia and delirium.

Cognitive assessments cover a very broad range of activities. They can take place:

- in a number of settings – primary care, specialist memory clinics, acute care and care homes
- for a variety of purposes – screening, diagnosing, staging and measuring change
- over a number of domains – memory, language, executive function.

So, it is not surprising that there is no single examination which covers all these situations.

A multitude of cognitive function tests have been developed. Each has its own unique selling points, advantages and detractors. The tests vary in how long they take and the amount of equipment you need to carry them out. Some are available for free, while for others you will need to gain permission and pay a fee. There have been many reviews of cognitive assessment tests over the years, some of which report detailed information about the psychometric properties of different tests and others which more simply describe their properties.

We developed this toolkit because we wanted to give clinicians guidance about what tests are available and how they may be used in clinical practice. This is particularly timely as the commonly used Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), which is copyrighted, now incurs a cost for each use, so there is a need to identify alternatives.

Please note

- We have assumed that clinicians using this toolkit have clinical knowledge about the measurement of cognitive function
- These tests are not diagnostic of any specific disorder and should be interpreted in the context of an individual’s previous cognitive function.
- Appropriate training and supervision is needed to correctly interpret the results.
- As there is no single test which covers every eventuality, we recommend clinicians become familiar with one test and use it regularly.
The consultation process

This toolkit is a working draft and under consultation until 30 June 2013. Until then, we welcome all feedback about the content and how easy it is to use. A final version of the toolkit will be available from Autumn 2013.

We also welcome any validity data for any tools included in this toolkit. The tools suggested in the main pathways are well validated for use in dementia. However, a number of the tools supplied in this toolkit still require full validation. If you are a clinician and have data to contribute to this process, please send a Microsoft Excel document to the email address below.

Feedback and validity data can be submitted to Alzheimer’s Society online at www.alzheimers.org.uk/cognitiveassessment or by post or email to:

Alzheimer’s Society Research
Devon House, 58 St Katharine’s Way,
London E1W 1LB

anne.corbett@alzheimers.org.uk

Please put either ‘toolkit validity data’ or ‘toolkit feedback’ in the subject field.
About this toolkit

This toolkit offers practical advice for clinicians about a choice of cognitive tests which can be used to assess cognition in clinical settings. All of the suggested tests are available to clinicians free of charge. You can find copies of each test in this toolkit, along with links to their source.

The toolkit was developed by a multidisciplinary advisory group, and informed by clinical experience and reference to relevant literature. The views expressed in the toolkit are those of the authors and the advisory group.

When using the toolkit, please note:

• The suggestions are based on clinical experience and information. They are based on, but not exclusively driven by, empirical research evidence and systematic reviews.

• It is not meant to be used as a dementia screening or diagnostic tool. It should only be used to assess cognition where there is clinical suspicion that a patient has a cognitive impairment, regardless of its cause (in particular dementia and delirium).

• The toolkit focuses solely on cognition and not the other key aspects of dementia, such as behavioural and psychological symptoms, perception, executive function and daily living activities.

• The suggested tools are intended for use alongside the detailed form of neuropsychological testing carried out in memory clinics.

• It does not include assessment relating to mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (where there is cognitive impairment not amounting to dementia). Assessment of MCI is generally a task for specialists. You can find more information about MCI in the ‘Information for specialists’ section on page 17.

• Special consideration needs to be made where a person has a learning disability or a neurological condition such as Parkinson’s disease.

• When assessing cognition, clinicians need to be sensitive to the cultural and educational background of the individual.

• This toolkit is a guideline rather than definitive clinical advice. There isn’t a test which is always more appropriate than another and it is usually best for a clinician to choose one test for their setting and get familiar with using it. In this toolkit we have shown the test we believe is most appropriate for each setting.
How to use this toolkit

The toolkit is divided into four stepped pathways, one for each of these clinical settings:

1. Cognitive assessment in primary care
2. Cognitive assessment in memory clinics
3. Cognitive assessment in acute care settings
4. Cognitive assessment in care homes

We hope that the suggestions in these four main settings can be adapted for every situation where a cognitive assessment is needed, for example intermediate care and outpatients.

Each pathway includes suggestions for an initial assessment, further assessment and follow-up or monitoring. The type of follow-up and monitoring will depend on how severe the cognitive impairment is. The pathways (with the exception of care home settings) use the traffic light system opposite.

The distinction of mild, moderate and severe should be made on clinical grounds, according to the specific history and profile of the patient, and based on the individual guidance supplied with each assessment test. You can find links to this guidance in the ‘Assessment tools’ section of the toolkit, alongside each scale.

We hope you find this toolkit helpful and easy to use. We welcome all feedback and suggestions in the consultation phase (see page 4 for details).
The following four pathways are for use in clinical settings. They are intended to guide clinicians in selecting the most appropriate cognitive assessment tool for the setting. The range of recommended tools is listed in the introductory text. The tool marked with a * in the pathway diagram is the one most recommended for the specific setting.
1 Cognitive assessment in primary care

Use this pathway for guidance in primary care settings where cognitive impairment is suspected. It suggests the most relevant assessment tools you could use. The history of the nature and progression of memory difficulties is an important part of the diagnostic process and essential if you are to correctly interpret the results of the neuropsychological tests. If someone needs further assessment of their cognition, you should refer them to a memory clinic.

Recommended tests for this assessment are:

A  Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)
B  General practitioner assessment of cognition (GPCOG)
D  Mini-cog
Cognitive assessment in primary care settings

General enquiry
‘Has the person been more forgetful in the last 12 months to the extent that it has affected their daily life?’
For example, difficulty using the phone, managing shopping lists, using money, managing their medication, driving, etc
It is helpful to involve family/a close friend in this consultation

Optional: **D** Mini-cog

**C** General practitioner assessment of cognition (GPCOG) *
(Requires a carer (family or close friend) to be present)
and/or
**A** Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)

**Mild**
Refer according to local protocols
Monitor with AMTS/GPCOG

**Moderate**
Refer according to local protocols
Monitor with AMTS/GPCOG

**Severe**
Refer according to local protocols
Cognitive assessment as clinically indicated

Initial assessment

Diagnosis

Referral and monitoring
Cognitive assessment in memory clinics

Use this pathway for guidance in a memory clinic. It suggests the most relevant assessment tools you could use. When a person is referred to a clinic, a cognitive impairment will have already been identified and an initial inquiry or test carried out. Detailed neuropsychological tests may be also be indicated. You can find more information in the ‘Information for specialists’ section on page 16.

**Recommended tests for this assessment are:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Addenbrookes cognitive examination-III (ACE-III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mini mental state examination (MMSE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Copyright restrictions apply)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cognitive assessment in memory clinics and for outpatient specialist assessment

**Assessment**

G  Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA)*  
And/or

F  Addenbrookes cognitive examination III (ACE-III)  
And/or

Mini mental state examination (MMSE)  
(Note: copyright restrictions apply)

**Monitoring**

- **Mild**  
  Monitor with MoCA* and ACE-III MMSE

- **Moderate**  
  Monitor with MoCA* and ACE-III MMSE

- **Severe**  
  Monitor with assessment tool as clinically indicated
Cognitive assessment in acute care settings

Use this pathway in acute care settings where cognitive impairment is suspected. It suggests relevant assessment tools you can use to help with the diagnosis. The tools should be supplemented by diagnostic instruments such as the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) for delirium and implementing the NICE Delirium guideline.

It is important to note that in patients in acute care settings, delirium and dementia frequently occur together. Once any underlying conditions have been treated, it is essential to re-evaluate cognition. This is so you can exclude confounding factors causing delirium. To do this, follow with CQUIN guidelines as the pathway indicates. As delirium is very common in post-operative patients, you should be cautious about interpreting cognitive performance at this stage.

**Recommended tests for this assessment are:**

A. Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)
B. 6-Item cognitive impairment test (6CIT)
C. General practitioner assessment of cognition (GPCOG)
Cognitive assessment in acute cute settings

1. Initial enquiry (follow CQUIN pathway)
   - For example, difficulty using the phone, managing shopping lists, using money, managing their medication, driving, etc.
   - It is helpful to involve family/a close friend in this consultation

2. Further assessment
   - A. Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS) *
   - B. 6-Item cognitive impairment test (6CIT)
   - C. General practitioner assessment of cognition (GPCOG) (requires a carer to be present)

3. Referral
   - Mild: Inform the GP
   - Moderate: Referral to dementia nurse/old age psychiatry liaison team
   - Severe: Referral to dementia nurse/old age psychiatry liaison team
Cognitive assessment in care homes

Use this pathway for guidance in care home settings where cognitive impairment is suspected. It suggests the most relevant assessment tools you could use. A person admitted to a care home may have a pre-existing diagnosis of dementia or it may be suspected and so further assessment of cognitive impairment is needed. We recommend that a cognitive assessment is carried out routinely for everyone admitted to a care home.

**Recommended tests for this assessment are:**

- **A** Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)
- **B** 6-Item cognitive impairment test (6CIT)
- **C** General practitioner assessment of cognition (GPCOG)
- **G** Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA)
### Cognitive assessment in care homes

**Where no diagnosis or assessment has previously been made**

- ‘Is there any suspicion from the history or current situation that the person has dementia?’
  - eg History of forgetfulness affecting the person’s ability to manage prior to admission or current disorientation/ loss of independence not related to physical illness.
  - It is helpful to involve family/a carer in this consultation.

**Where a previous diagnosis has been made**

- **G** Montreal cognitive assessment scale (MoCA) *
  - And / or
- **A** Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)

**Where cognition is significantly impaired**

- **A** Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)

---

**Additional Assessments**

- **C** General practitioner assessment of cognition (GPCOG) *
  - And / or
- **A** Abbreviated mental test score (AMTS)
- **B** 6-Item cognitive impairment test (6CIT)
Information for specialists

This section is for professionals working in specialist settings. It provides guidance and supporting information for more specific cases where assessment is not straightforward and specialist referral may be needed.
Mild cognitive impairment (MCI)

People with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) convert to dementia at a rate of approximately 10 per cent a year. MCI represents a clinical challenge due to the variety and often dynamic nature of symptoms.

Careful enquiry is needed to confirm that there is objective (as opposed to subjective only) cognitive impairment but no functional change. The subtlety of change means it is crucial to assess pre-morbid intellectual achievement.

As a result MCI is frequently not identified in primary care, so that the patient may be unaware either that they do not have dementia and may not develop it or that there is an increased risk. This can lead to unnecessary anxiety or a potential delay in diagnosis of dementia at a later date.

While we have not specifically included MCI in this toolkit, we highly recommend that specialists consider MCI when assessing patients for cognition.

We also recommend continued monitoring in cases where appropriate. This is an important factor in ensuring a prompt diagnosis of dementia in people who convert from MCI. The scales included in this toolkit may help with identifying MCI, at the discretion of the specialist and in consultation with the patient and an informant (a family member, close friend or caregiver).

Cases requiring specialist referral
There may be cases where the assessment shows that there is a more complex need, in which case the person should be referred to a specialist. We recommend using the table below to support this decision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive deficit found on screening (eg MoCA and ACE-III)</th>
<th>Functional impairment found from clinical history</th>
<th>Cognitive screening scores and clinical history consistent with each other</th>
<th>Presentation fits a recognised profile</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Specialist to make diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Consider further neuropsychological assessment before diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The above table focuses on the criteria for considering specialist neuropsychological testing. Other diagnostic tests (eg neuroimaging) may be required to make a diagnosis.
Summary of the assessment tools available

The table below outlines the assessment tools that are currently available. It also details how they are used and current validity evidence that supports them. Please note that while the table provides the evidence base, this toolkit is also based on recommendations from experts in the advisory group and known practicalities and feasibilities of use of the tools in clinical settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Overview of scale</th>
<th>Duration of application</th>
<th>Cut-off point for dementia</th>
<th>Copyright status</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Overview of scale</td>
<td>Duration of application</td>
<td>Cut-off point for dementia</td>
<td>Copyright status</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General practitioner assessment of cognition (GPCOG)</td>
<td>Developed for primary care and includes a carers’ interview.</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Copyright University of South Wales, as represented by Brodaty et al 2002</td>
<td>Brodaty et al. American geriatric society. 2002;50:530–4.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dalrymple-Alford JC., et al. Neurology 2010; 75: 1717- |
| Severe impairment battery (SIB)                   | 20 minutes                                                                         | 82-88/100               |                           | Copyright Panisset et al 1992                                                    | Saxton J, Mc Gonigle-Gibson K, Swihart A., Miller M, Boller F
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Overview of scale</th>
<th>Duration of application</th>
<th>Cut-off point for dementia</th>
<th>Copyright status</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Overview of scale</td>
<td>Duration of application</td>
<td>Cut-off point for dementia</td>
<td>Copyright status</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessment tools

The recommended cognitive assessment tools in this section are for use in clinical settings according to the suggestions in this toolkit.
The AMTS was developed in 1972 for assessing cognition. The test takes around five minutes and is widely used, particularly in UK primary care. Validity has been evaluated in acute geriatric ward inpatients with normal cognition, dementia and delirium. Validation has shown good sensitivity but more limited specificity.

Guidance and further information:
www.patient.co.uk/doctor/Abbreviated-Mental-Test-(AMT).htm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Incorrect – 0 points</th>
<th>Correct – 1 point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Time? (to nearest hour)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Address for recall at end of test: “42 West Street”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(this should be repeated by the patient to ensure it has been heard correctly)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Year?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Name of this place?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Identification of two persons (doctor, nurse etc.)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Date of birth?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Last year of Second World War?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Name of present Monarch?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Count backwards 20 to 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address recall correct?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviated mental test score total = \[\frac{\text{correct answers}}{10}\]
The 6CIT is a brief test which takes less than five minutes. It is used in primary care. It involves three orientation items – counting backwards from 20, stating the months of the year in reverse order, and learning an address. This correlates highly ($r^2 = 0.911$) with the MMSE. It shows good sensitivity for detecting mild dementia as well being culturally unbiased. However, validation data is limited. The 6CIT has advantages over the MMSE in hospitals settings (Tuijl et al, 2012).

Guidance and further information:
www.patient.co.uk/doctor/six-item-cognitive-impairment-test-6cit

1. What year is it?  
   [ ] Incorrect – 4 points  [ ] Correct – 0 point

2. What month is it?  
   [ ] Incorrect – 3 points  [ ] Correct – 0 point

3. Give the patient an address phrase to remember with 5 components, eg John, Smith, 42, High St, Bedford

4. About what time is it (within 1 hour)?  
   [ ] Incorrect – 3 points  [ ] Correct – 0 point

5. Count backwards from 20-1  
   [ ] 1 error – 2 points  [ ] Correct – 0 point  
   [ ] More than one error – 4 point

6. Say the months of the year in reverse  
   [ ] 1 error – 2 points  [ ] Correct – 0 point  
   [ ] More than one error – 4 point

7. Repeat address phrase  
   [ ] All wrong – 10 points  [ ] 4 errors – 8 point  
   [ ] 3 errors – 6 points  [ ] 2 errors – 4 point  
   [ ] 1 errors – 2 points  [ ] Correct – 0 point

6CIT score = /28

Many thanks to Dr Patrick Brooke, General Practitioner & Research Assistant in Dementia for his help with the original article. The Kingshill Research Centre, Swindon, UK owns the copyright to The Kingshill Version 2000 of the 6CIT but allows health care professionals to use it for free.
The GPCOG is a reliable, valid and efficient tool to use to screen for dementia in primary care settings for people with carers. It takes less than four minutes to carry out the patient assessment and two minutes to interview the carer. There is some evidence that interviewing both carers and patients improves accuracy. The GPCOG is not influenced by someone’s cultural and linguistic background, making it useful in multicultural patient settings. It is less sensitive and specific than the Mini Cog and takes longer.


Patient name: ____________________________ Date: ______________

Step 1: Patient examination
Unless specified, each question should only be asked once

Name and address for subsequent recall test
1. “I am going to give you a name and address. After I have said it, I want you to repeat it. Remember this name and address because I am going to ask you to tell it to me again in a few minutes: John Brown, 42 West Street, Kensington.” (Allow a maximum of 4 attempts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time orientation</th>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. What is the date? (exact only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clock drawing – use blank page
3. Please mark in all the numbers to indicate the hours of a clock (correct spacing required)
4. Please mark in hands to show 10 minutes past 11 o’clock (11.10)

Information
5. Can you tell me something that happened in the news recently? (Recently = in the last week. If a general answer is given, eg “war”, “lot of rain”, ask for details. Only specific answer scores).

Recall
6. What was the name and address I asked you to remember
   John
   Brown
   42
   West (St)
   Kensington

Total correct (score out of 9) (To get a total score, add the number of items answered correctly)

If patient scores 9, no significant cognitive impairment and further testing not necessary.
If patient scores 5-8, more information required. Proceed with Step 2, informant section, on the next page.
If patient scores 0-4, cognitive impairment is indicated. Conduct standard investigations.

© University of New South Wales as represented by the Dementia Collaborative Research Centre – Assessment and Better Care;
Brodaty et al, JAGS 2002; 50:530-534
Informant’s name: ____________________________ Date: __________

Informant’s relationship to patient, ie informant is the patient’s:

**Step 2: Informant interview**

These six questions ask how the patient is compared to when s/he was well, say 5–10 years ago.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compared to a few years ago:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Does the patient have more trouble remembering things that have happened recently than s/he used to?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does he or she have more trouble recalling conversations a few days later?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. When speaking, does the patient have more difficulty in finding the right word or tend to use the wrong words more often?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the patient less able to manage money and financial affairs (eg paying bills, budgeting)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Is the patient less able to manage his or her medication independently?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Does the patient need more assistance with transport (either private or public)? (If the patient has difficulties due only to physical problems, eg bad leg, tick ‘no’)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total score** (score out of 6) (To get a total score, add the number of items answered ‘no’, ‘don’t know’ or ‘N/A’)

If patient scores 0–3, cognitive impairment is indicated. Conduct standard investigations.

© University of New South Wales as represented by the Dementia Collaborative Research Centre – Assessment and Better Care; Brodaty et al, JAGS 2002; 50:530-534
Mini-cog combines three-item word memory and clock drawing. It was developed in a community sample that over-represented people with dementia, low education, non-white ethnicity and non-English speakers. In a population-based retrospective study, its effectiveness was compared with MMSE and a standardised neuropsychological battery. It has similar sensitivity than the MMSE at a cut-off point of 25 (76 per cent vs 79 per cent) and similar specificity (89 per cent vs. 88 per cent) for dementia and therefore had little advantage except speed.

Guidance and further information:
www.cks.nhs.uk/dementia/management/scenario_screening_diagnosis_and_assessment/confirming_the_diagnosis/mini_cog_assessment_instrument

Administration
The test is administered as follows:
1. Instruct the patient to listen carefully to and remember 3 unrelated words and then repeat the words.
2. Instruct the patient to draw the face of a clock, either on a blank sheet of paper or on a sheet with the clock circle already drawn on the page. After the patient puts the numbers on the clock face, ask him or her to draw the hands of the clock to read a specific time.
3. Ask the patient to repeat the 3 previously stated words

Scoring
Give 1 point for each recalled word after the CDT distractor.
Patients recalling none of the three words are classified as demented (Score = 0)
Patients recalling all three words are classified as non-demented (Score = 3)
Patients with intermediate word recall of 1-2 words are classified based on the CDT (Abnormal = demented; Normal = non-demented)

Note: The CDT is considered normal if all numbers are present in the correct sequence and position, and hands readable display the requested time.


The dementia CQUIN aims to develop the system within acute trusts which incentivises clinicians/trusts by linking a proportion of their income to the achievement of targets to identify patients with dementia and other causes of impaired cognition alongside their other medical conditions. It also encourages them to refer appropriately and follow up after they leave hospital.

Currently around 40 per cent of patients over 75 admitted to general hospitals have dementia with only half having prior diagnosis. There’s an opportunity while people are in hospital to make sure that a proper diagnostic assessment takes place. This will also mean that while they are in hospital and on discharge, reasonable adjustments can be made in their care to take into account their dementia.

The more systematic identification of patients with cognitive impairment is also likely to improve the detection of delirium, depression etc and give opportunities to manage them better. The pathway is summarised below and has three parts: Find, Assess and Investigate, Refer (FAIR)

Addenbrookes cognitive examination-III (ACE-III)

The ACE-III replaced the previous ACE-II and ACE-R versions in November 2012. The scale includes five subdomains, which provide a cognitive score out of a maximum of 100.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Date of testing:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth:</td>
<td>Tester’s name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital no. or address:</td>
<td>Age at leaving full-time education:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handedness:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attention**

Ask: What is the Day Date Month Year Season? (Score 0-5)

Ask: Which No./Floor Street/ Suburb State Country Hospital? (Score 0-5)

Tell: “I’m going to give you three words and I’d like you to repeat them after me: lemon, key and ball.” After subject repeats, say “Try to remember them because I’m going to ask you later”.

Score only the first trial (repeat 3 times if necessary).

Register number of trials:

**Attention**

Ask the subject: “Could you take 7 away from 100? I’d like you to keep taking 7 away from each new number until I tell you to stop.”

If subject makes a mistake, do not stop them. Let the subject carry on and check subsequent answers (eg, 93, 84, 77, 70, 63 – score 4).

Stop after five subtractions (93, 86, 79, 72, 65):

**Memory**

Ask: ‘Which 3 words did I ask you to repeat and remember?’
Fluency

Letters

**Say:** “I’m going to give you a letter of the alphabet and I’d like you to generate as many words as you can beginning with that letter, but not names of people or places. For example, if I give you the letter “C”, you could give me words like “cat, cry, clock” and so on. But, you can’t give me words like Catherine or Canada. Do you understand? Are you ready? You have one minute. The letter I want you to use is the letter “P”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score (Score 0-7)</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Correct</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals

**Say:** “Now can you name as many animals as possible. It can begin with any letter.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score (Score 0-7)</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 22</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Correct</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Addenbrookes cognitive examination-III (ACE-III) (Continued)

Memory
Tell: “I’m going to give you a name and address and I’d like you to repeat the name and address after me. So you have a chance to learn, we’ll be doing that 3 times. I’ll ask you the name and address later.”
Score only the third trial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st Trial</th>
<th>2nd Trial</th>
<th>3rd Trial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harry Barnes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 Orchard Close</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsbridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memory
Name of the current Prime Minister

Name of the woman who was Prime Minister

Name of the USA president

Name of the USA president who was assassinated in the 1960s

Language
Place a pencil and a piece of paper in front of the subject. As a practice trial, ask the subject to “Pick up the pencil and then the paper.” If incorrect, score 0 and do not continue further.
If the subject is correct on the practice trial, continue with the following three commands below.
• Ask the subject to “Place the paper on top of the pencil”
• Ask the subject to “Pick up the pencil but not the paper”
• Ask the subject to “Pass me the pencil after touching the paper”
Addenbrookes cognitive examination-III (ACE-III) (Continued)

Language
Ask the subject to write two (or more) complete sentences about his/her last holiday/weekend/Christmas. Write in complete sentences and do not use abbreviations. Give 1 point if there are two (or more) complete sentences about the one topic; and give another 1 point if grammar and spelling are correct.

Language
Ask the subject to repeat: ‘caterpillar’; ‘eccentricity’; ‘unintelligible’; ‘statistician’ Score 2 if all are correct; score 1 if 3 are correct; and score 0 if 2 or less are correct.

Language
Ask the subject to repeat: ‘All that glitters is not gold’

Language
Ask the subject to repeat: ‘A stitch in time saves nine’
Language
Ask the subject to name the following pictures:

Language
Using the pictures above, ask the subject to:
- Point to the one which is associated with the monarchy
- Point to the one which is a marsupial
- Point to the one which is found in the Antarctic
- Point to the one which has a nautical connection
Language
Ask the subject to read the following words: (Score 1 only if all correct)

- sew
- pint
- soot
- dough
- height

Visuospatial abilities

Infinity Diagram: Ask the subject to copy this diagram:

![Infinity Diagram](image)

Wire cube: Ask the subject to copy this diagram:

![Wire Cube](image)

Clock: Ask the subject to draw a clock face with numbers and the hands at ten past five. (For scoring see instruction guide: circle = 1, numbers = 2, hands = 2 if all correct).
Visuospatial abilities

Ask the subject to count the dots without pointing to them
Visuospatial abilities
Ask the subject to identify the letters

Visuospatial
(Score 0-4)
**Visuospatial abilities**

Ask “Now tell me what you remember about that name and address we were repeating at the beginning”

- Harry Barnes
  - 73 Orchard Close
  - Knigsbridge
  - Devon

**Memory**

This test should be done if the subject failed to recall one or more items above. If all items were recalled, skip the test and score 5. If only part was recalled start by ticking items recalled in the shadowed column on the right hand side; and then test not recalled items by telling the subject “ok, I’ll give you some hints: was the name X, Y or Z?” and so on. Each recognised item scores one point, which is added to the point gained by recalling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jerry Barnes</th>
<th>Harry Barnes</th>
<th>Harry Bradford</th>
<th>recalled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchard Place</td>
<td>Oak Close</td>
<td>Orchard Close</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakhampton</td>
<td>Kingsbridge</td>
<td>Dartington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devon</td>
<td>Dorset</td>
<td>Somerset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL ACE-III SCORE</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluency</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visuospatial</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA)  
Version 7.1 original version

The MoCA is a 10-minute; 30-point cognitive test with executive functioning and attention tasks, as well as language, memory and visuo-spatial skills designed for those scoring 24-30 on MMSE. The suggested cut-off for dementia is 26. It was prospectively validated in a UK memory clinic setting to determine its usefulness as a predictive tool for the development of dementia. At six-month follow up MoCA detected mild dementia in people with MCI (MMSE score above 25 points) with 94 per cent sensitivity and 50 per cent specificity. MoCA is also accurate in Parkinson’s disease, with cut-offs of 21/30 for Parkinson’s disease dementia (sensitivity 81 per cent; specificity 95 per cent).

Guidance and further information: www.mocatest.org

---

Name: 
Date of Birth: 
Sex: 
Education: 
Date: 

Visuospatial/Executive

Copy cube

Draw CLOCK (Ten past eleven) (3 points)

Contour Numbers Hands /5

Points

Naming

Face Velvet Church Daisy Red

Memory

Read list of word, subject must repeat them.
Do 2 trials, even if 1st trial is successful.
Do a recall after 5 minutes.

1st trial

2nd trial

No points
Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA)  
Version 7.1 original version (continued)

Attention

Read list of digits (1 digit/sec)
Subject has to repeat them in the forward order 2 1 8 5 4
Subject has to repeat them in the backward order 7 4 2

Read list of letters. The subject must tap with his hand at each letter A. No points if > 2 errors


Serial 7 subtraction starting at 100
93 86 79 72 65
4 or 5 correct subtractions: 3pts 2 or 3 correct: 2pts 1 correct: 1pt 0 correct: 0pt

Language

Repeat: I only know that John is the one to help today.
The cat always hid under the couch when the dogs were in the room.

Fluency/Name maximum number of words in one minute that begin with the letter F (N > 11 words)

Abstraction

Similarity between eg banana – orange = fruit  train – bicycle  watch – rule

Delayed recall

Has to recall words WITH NO CUE  Face  Velvet  Church  Daisy  Red Points for UNCUED recall only

Optional

Category cue
Multiple choice cue

Orientation

Date  Month  Year  Day  Place  City

Administered by: Normal > 26/30  TOTAL

Add 1 point if < 12 yr edu
Other tests

We have not included copies of the following tests in this toolkit, but there is evidence to support using them.

**Severe impairment battery (SiB7)**
The SiB7 takes 10 to 15 minutes to complete. It was derived from analysing the data from people who had completed the full SIB whose MMSE scores ranged from 5-7. It avoids the floor effect of the MMSE and so is useful for assessment where cognition is severely impaired.

**Guidance, information and access:**

**Hopkins verbal learning test (HVLT)**
HVLT assesses verbal recall and recognition with three learning/free-recall trials, followed by a recognition trial. It has six equivalent forms, for reliable re-testing even at short intervals and takes under 10 minutes. It does not have ceiling effects and is not sensitive to educational levels.

In a district geriatric psychiatry service, HVLT had better sensitivity (96 per cent) when compared to MMSE in detecting dementia with a cut-off of 18/19. In a community dwelling population, when tested between people with dementia and non-demented controls (including MCIs), at a cut-off of <16 the sensitivity was 80 per cent and specificity 84 per cent. The sensitivity increased to 90 per cent at <18 with lower specificity 68 per cent. Results were similar for both Alzheimer’s disease and vascular dementia.

**Test for the early detection of dementia (TE4D-Cog)**
Initially developed in Germany (known as TFDD), TE4D-Cog was modified for use in an English-speaking population. This eight-item test takes about 10 minutes. It is scored out of 45 on immediate recall, semantic memory, clock drawing test, category fluency, orientation to time and ideomotor praxis. A cut-off of 35 gives sensitivity of 100 per cent and specificity of 84 per cent, in differentiating early dementia from non-dementia. The TE4D-Cog is age, gender and education independent in people with mild dementia. It still needs further evaluation in memory clinics and non-English-speaking populations.

**Information and access:**
www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16315149

**Test your memory test (TYM)**
TYM is a 10-item test, self-administered under medical supervision, scoring from 0-50. It was specific and sensitive for the diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease and to detect more cases than MMSE in memory clinic patient with a higher level of education, including those with sensory impairments and in situations where clinician time is limited. It still needs further validation in diverse education, cultural and care setting.

**Guidance and further information:**
www.cambridgebrainsciences.co.uk

---

**Guidance and further information:**
www4.parinc.com/Products/Product.aspx?ProductID=HVLTR
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